

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/722,142	11/25/2000	Silverbrook Kia	NPP023US	3969
24011 759	008/06/2004		EXAMI	NER
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EVANS, ARTHUR G	
393 DARLING S BALMAIN, 20	STREET 041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			2622	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/722,142	KIA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arthur G. Evans	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
'—		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-60, 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-60,63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim Claim(s) 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. 1 claim 79)-80 is/are rejected. 1 79)-80 is/are objected to.	he application.			
Applicati	ion Papers	,				
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati In rity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/575155</u> . ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/722,142

Art Unit: 2622

Claims 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 are not numbered consecutively or are duplicate. Claims 63-79(first claim 79) should be renumbered as 61-77. Claims 79(second claim 79)-80 should be renumbered as 78-79 Appropriate correction is required.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-60, 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-79 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6727996. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur G. Evans whose telephone number is 703-305-9653.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-3085397 for regular communications and 703-3085397 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/722,142

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-390.

July 29, 2004

SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER